H-2A Workers: The Payroll Tax Traps That Can Cost Agricultural Employers Thousands

Roger McEowen (roger.mceowen@washburn.edu) – Washburn University School of Law
Roger McEowen's Blog: https://agriculturallaw.lawprofessorsblogs.com/
July 2026

Overview

The H-2A temporary agricultural worker program has become indispensable for many farms and ranches facing chronic labor shortages. Yet, while most producers understand the immigration aspects of the program, many remain unaware that H-2A workers are subject to an entirely different federal payroll tax regime than domestic agricultural employees.

That distinction matters.

A payroll system configured incorrectly can produce unnecessary FICA taxes, incorrect unemployment tax reporting, inaccurate Forms W-2, and costly corrections after year-end. Worse, many employers mistakenly assume that because H-2A wages are exempt from certain payroll taxes, the workers have no federal income tax obligations—a misconception that can create problems for both employers and employees.

For agricultural employers, CPAs, and attorneys, understanding these rules is becoming increasingly important as H-2A usage continues to expand across the country.

H-2A Workers Are Employees - But Not Typical Employees

One of the biggest misconceptions is that H-2A workers somehow fall outside the normal payroll reporting system. They do not. H-2A workers are employees whose wages generally are reported on Form W-2 and included on Form 943, the annual return for agricultural employers. However, Congress created special payroll tax exemptions recognizing the temporary nature of the program. That means employers must separate three different questions:

- Is the worker subject to Social Security and Medicare tax?
- Is the worker subject to federal unemployment tax?
- Is federal income tax withholding required?

Each question has a different answer.

No FICA Taxes

Perhaps the greatest payroll advantage for agricultural employers is the exemption from FICA taxes. Under IRC §3121(b)(1), qualifying H-2A agricultural labor is excluded from employment for Social Security and Medicare purposes.

The exemption applies to:

- The employee's 6.2 percent Social Security tax;
- The employee's 1.45 percent Medicare tax;
- The employer's matching Social Security tax; and
- The employer's matching Medicare tax.

For employers with dozens - or even hundreds - of H-2A workers, the savings can be substantial. Equally important, this exemption applies regardless of whether the worker is later classified as a resident alien for federal income tax purposes. Residency status affects income taxation, but it does not eliminate the FICA exemption for qualifying H-2A agricultural labor.

FUTA Is Different Too

The Federal Unemployment Tax Act contains a similar exclusion. Employers generally owe no federal unemployment tax on qualifying H-2A wages. However, many payroll departments overlook an important nuance. Although H-2A wages are exempt from FUTA tax, H-2A workers still count when determining whether the employer has crossed the threshold that makes the farming operation subject to FUTA reporting in the first place. That distinction surprises many practitioners and demonstrates why simply treating H-2A workers as "tax exempt" is inaccurate.

Federal Income Tax Withholding Is Usually Voluntary

Another area that causes confusion is federal income tax withholding. Unlike domestic employees, H-2A workers generally are **not** subject to mandatory federal income tax withholding. Instead, withholding typically occurs only if both the employer and employee agree to voluntary withholding under IRC §3402(p). That can actually benefit both parties.

Many H-2A workers expect to owe federal income tax when they file their returns. Rather than making quarterly estimated tax payments, some prefer to have federal income tax withheld during the season. An employer is generally free to accommodate - or decline - that request. If voluntary withholding is elected, employers should obtain a properly completed Form W-4 prepared under the IRS rules applicable to nonresident aliens and retain it with the payroll records.

Don't Forget the States

Federal law is only half the analysis. State payroll rules may differ dramatically. Some states generally follow the federal treatment, while others require state income tax withholding even though federal withholding is not mandatory. Likewise, state unemployment insurance rules are not always identical to the federal FUTA exemption.

For example, while a state may generally conform to the federal unemployment tax treatment for qualifying H-2A agricultural labor, employers cannot assume that the state's income tax withholding rules mirror federal law.

Payroll systems should therefore be reviewed independently for each state in which H-2A employees perform services.

Multi-state agricultural employers should pay particular attention to this issue.

Housing, Meals, and Transportation Require Separate Tax Analysis

Many employers correctly understand that they must provide housing and transportation under Department of Labor regulations. What they often miss is that satisfying H-2A regulatory requirements does not automatically determine the federal tax treatment of those benefits.

Employer-provided housing frequently qualifies for exclusion from income under IRC §119.

Meals may also qualify for exclusion when the statutory requirements are met.

Transportation reimbursements should be analyzed separately under the accountable plan rules of Treasury Regulation §1.62-2.

That distinction is critical. A reimbursement that satisfies Department of Labor requirements may nevertheless become taxable wages if it is paid under a nonaccountable reimbursement arrangement. The same benefit can therefore satisfy immigration regulations while still creating unnecessary payroll tax reporting problems.

> **Observation:** Employers should coordinate their H-2A compliance procedures with their payroll policies rather than viewing them as unrelated obligations.

Visa and Recruitment Costs Can Also Create Payroll Issues

Another overlooked area involves visa expenses, recruitment costs, border crossing fees, and similar expenditures. The Department of Labor places responsibility for many of these costs on the employer. From a federal tax perspective, however, the analysis focuses on a different question: Who primarily benefits from the expenditure?

Where the employer pays costs primarily for its own business purposes or to satisfy legal obligations imposed under the H-2A program, those payments generally are not treated as additional taxable compensation.

> **Note:** Practitioners should evaluate each category individually rather than assuming every employer-paid expense receives identical tax treatment. Good documentation remains essential if these payments are later reviewed during an IRS or Department of Labor examination.

A Delayed Social Security Number Doesn't Stop Payroll

Many H-2A workers begin working before the Social Security Administration issues their Social Security numbers.

That delay frequently causes payroll personnel to panic. It should not. Employers should continue treating the worker as an employee. They should assist the worker in applying for an SSN, maintain documentation showing the application has been filed, continue wage reporting under the applicable IRS procedures, and correct reporting if necessary after the Social Security number is assigned.

One of the most common mistakes is treating workers without SSNs as independent contractors or delaying payroll until an SSN arrives. Neither approach is correct.

Immigration Status Is Not Tax Residency

Perhaps the most misunderstood aspect of the H-2A program involves income tax residency. Many practitioners assume that someone working under an H-2A visa automatically remains a nonresident alien. Federal tax law says otherwise. Income tax residency is determined under the Substantial Presence Test contained in IRC §7701(b), not under immigration law. As workers return for multiple growing seasons, some eventually become resident aliens for federal income tax purposes. When that happens, several important consequences follow. The worker generally files Form 1040 rather than Form 1040-NR. Worldwide income generally becomes reportable. Estimated tax obligations may change. Certain treaty provisions may no longer apply.

Yet one thing does **not** change. The FICA exemption for qualifying H-2A agricultural labor remains intact because that exemption depends on the nature of the work performed—not the worker's tax residency classification.

Estimated Tax Often Becomes the Real Issue

Because mandatory federal withholding usually does not apply, many H-2A workers eventually discover they owe federal income tax when filing their annual returns. That frequently leads to estimated tax issues. Returning workers, in particular, may benefit from discussing quarterly estimated payments—or voluntary withholding - early in the growing season rather than waiting until filing season.

> **Note:** For many workers, voluntary withholding is administratively simpler than making quarterly estimated tax payments and may help avoid underpayment penalties under IRC §6654.

Practical Takeaways for Agricultural Employers

The H-2A payroll rules are not especially complicated once employers understand the framework—but they are different enough from ordinary payroll rules that mistakes are common.

Before year-end, employers should confirm that:

- Payroll software is not withholding Social Security or Medicare taxes on qualifying H-2A wages;
- FUTA treatment has been configured correctly;
- federal income tax withholding occurs only when voluntary withholding has been properly elected;
- Forms W-2 report wages correctly while omitting Social Security and Medicare wage reporting where appropriate;
- Housing, meals, transportation reimbursements, and employer-paid visa costs have been analyzed under the correct tax rules; and
- Payroll personnel understand that immigration status and tax residency are separate legal concepts.

Conclusion

As labor shortages continue to reshape production agriculture, H-2A employment will likely remain an essential part of many farming operations. But the program's payroll tax rules differ significantly from those applicable to domestic agricultural employees.

The biggest compliance risk is not usually the tax law itself—it is allowing standard payroll software or routine payroll procedures to override the specialized statutory rules applicable to H-2A workers.

For agricultural employers, spending time reviewing payroll configurations before the season begins is far less expensive than correcting Forms W-2, filing amended payroll returns, or defending payroll practices during an IRS or Department of Labor examination.

Understanding these distinctions enables producers, CPAs, and agricultural attorneys to reduce payroll tax errors, improve compliance, and ensure that both employers and H-2A workers satisfy their respective federal tax obligations.